

Memorandum of Understanding

Draft version for testing

Explanatory note:	
framework for credit	nderstanding (MoU) is an agreement between competent institutions which sets the transfer. It formalises the ECVET partnership by stating the mutual acceptance of the s of competent institutions involved. It also establishes partnerships' procedures for
also be bilateral. This recommended for case procedures and they can	ed by networks of competent institutions from several countries/systems, but they can depends on the partnership needs and ambitions. While the establishment of a MoU is es of organised mobility, if the institutions concerned already have trust in each other's are competent to recognise credit without the agreement of another institution, they can s directly using the Learning Agreement.
	and guidance on the establishment of a MoU please refer to the ECVET User's Guide: raphical mobility (2012) - Part II of the ECVET Users' Guide - Revised version – including assurance' – [Link].
Objectives of the	Memorandum of Understanding
	derstanding forms the framework for cooperation between the competent institutions.

Are other objectives agreed on? (Please tick as appropriate)

No

Yes - these are

2 Identification of the organisations signing the Memorandum of Understanding

Explanatory note:

Partners provide information on the organisations signing the MoU and describe what they are competent for in their systems. The MoU contains the relevant contact information of all institutions involved and their functions and roles (where necessary, add fields!).

Country [A]				
Institution				
Function				
Address				
Telephone			Fax	
E-mail			Website	
Represented by (nam	e and function)			
Telephone			Fax	
E-mail				
Country [B]				
Institution				
Function				
Address				
Telephone			Fax	
E-mail			Website	
Represented by (name and function)				
Telephone			Fax	
E-mail				

3	Organisations who are able to operate in the framework of the Memorandum of
	Understanding (if appropriate)

Expla	natory note:				
cham to ope	NoUs established for a broader context (such as agreements set up by sector based organisations, bers, regional or national authorities), a list of institutions (VET providers, companies, etc.) who are able erate in the framework of the MoU can be added. This can consist of a list of their names or it can refer to upper of VET providers. The list can be put in an annex.				
4 Info	ormation about the qualification(s) covered by the MoU				
Expla	natory note:				
qualij alread	vailability of sufficient and transparent information about the VET qualification(s) (it could be a group of fications) in the home and host country forms the basis for mutual trust. Relevant information has usually dy been exchanged before drawing up a MoU. The MoU itself can contain key information (such as the bass Certificate Supplement) – possibly in an annex.				
Qualific	cation in country [A]:				
Title of	qualification				
EQF leve	el/NQF level (if applicable)				
	of learning outcomes of relevance for the mobility phases (refer to enclosure in the annex, if applicable)				
51111(3)	or tearning outcomes or retevance for the mostility phases (refer to enclosure in the annex, it applicable)				
Enclosu	ures in annex - please tick as appropriate				
d	document for a more detailed overview of the learning outcomes associated with the qualification				
E	Europass certificate supplement				
C	description of the unit(s) of learning outcomes with relevance for the mobility phases				
	Other				

Qualification in country [B]:			
Title of qualification			
EQF level/NQF level (if applicable)			
Unit(s) of learning outcomes of relevance for the mobility phases (refer to enclosure in the annex, if applicable)			
Enclosures in annex - please tick as	appropriate		
document for a more detailed overview of the learning outcomes associated with the qualification			
Europass certificate supplement			
description of the unit(s) of learning outcomes with relevance for the mobility phases			

5 Competent institutions with regard to ECVET technical specifications

Explanatory note:

Partners identify the functions and responsibilities of competent institutions within their context. For further information on competent institutions please refer to Annex A of the document 'Using ECVET for geographical mobility (2012) — Part II of the ECVET Users' Guide — Revised version — including key points for quality assurance'!

Functions – Who is responsible for:	Qualification [A]	Qualification [B]	Qualification [X]
Identifying the unit(s) of learning outcomes suitable for mobility			
Delivering the education and training programme/learning activities preparing for the unit(s) of learning outcomes addressed by the mobility			
Assessing whether the learner(s) has(have) achieved the expected learning outcomes			
Validating and recognising learners' credit on their return to the home institution			
Others (if applicable):			

6 Assessment, documentation, validation and recognition

Explanatory note:

The MoU could explain the procedure and responsibilities for the assessment, documentation, validation and recognition:

- procedures and methods of assessment by the host institution;
- the form of documentation by the host institution (such as a learner's transcript of record, which can be enclosed as an annex to the Learning Agreement, the Europass Mobility, or form sheets specified by the sending institution); if the learner is awarded grades on specific learning outcomes, it would be helpful to have clarity on how this being done (underlying system);
- validation: how does the home institution determine that the learning outcomes achieved abroad can be validated;
- recognition: how does the home institution officially confirm that the learning outcomes have been achieved and validated (such as by issuing a certificate).

More detailed information is provided in the Learning Agreement.

For further information on competent institutions please refer to Annex A of the document 'Using ECVET for geographical mobility (2012) – Part II of the ECVET Users' Guide – Revised version – including key points for quality assurance'!

Qualification(s)/unit(s) in country [A]:	
Assessment	
Documentation	
Validation	
Recognition	

Qualification(s)/unit(s) in country [B]:
Assessment
Documentation
Validation
Recognition
7 Validity of time of the Memorandum of Understanding
Explanatory note:
Partners specify the duration of the validity of the MoU. In some cases it can be useful to conclude the agreement with a validity of a few years; in other cases, a longer period of validity will be more appropriate. In principle, however, a period of several years is recommended.
The Memorandum of Understanding is valid until:

8 Evaluation and review process

anatory note:		
ners specify the date and procedures fo	r evaluating the partnersh	ip functioning and for future impro
artnership will be evaluated and review	wed [date] (dd/mm/yy)	
ocedure]		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
atures		
Institution - in country [A]		Institution - in country [B]
Name, function	1	Name, function
Place, date (dd/mm/yy):	1	Place, date (dd/mm/yy):
ional topics		
anatory note:		
anatory note: MoU should also include spaces for a	ddina additional topics re	levant to the respective partners
The motivate opaces for an		The state of the s

Annexes	